


## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BC

Docket No: 01093-14

31 July 2014



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 July 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You entered a commissioning program in the Navy on 1 September 2012. During your initial processing, you failed to meet the vision standards for the aviator program and were informed that administrative separation processing had been initiated by reason of failure to complete a commissioning program. Subsequently, on 24 September 2012, you were discharged with an honorable characterization of service discharge and assigned a RE-3K (disenrolled from Naval Academy or other officer program) reentry code.

The Board noted that applicable regulations provide that an RE-3K reentry code is the most favorable code that may be assigned to individuals who are separated by reason of being disenrolled from a commissioning program. The alternative is an RE-4 (not recommended or retention) reentry code. Accordingly,

your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official may are record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

ment. Church

Acting Executive Director